

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Koji Matsuo KOJIM-443 10/025,701 12/26/2001 7507 23599 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/29/2005 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. HOFFMANN, JOHN M 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT SUITE 1400** ARLINGTON, VA 22201 1731

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Τ	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
l	10/025,701	MATSUO ET AL.	
r	Examiner	Art Unit	
l	John Hoffmann	1731	
ı			

	John Hoffmann	1/31		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWTHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.	
AMENDMENTS		£		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		oecause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	··	educing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:			•	
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 10-19</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	<b>—</b>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	John Hoffmann Primery Examiner	-18-05	
		John Moffmann Primery Examiner	V	



Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issue: whether the change to claim 1, line 3 now makes any of the claims allowable...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The assertions are not baseless as argued by applicant. Fukiwara discloses (example 2) treating in a fluorine atmosphere and the subsequent sentence indicates the preform is consolidated. It is inherent that there is some gas or a vacuum during this consolidating. It is reasonable to expect the same gas is present - absent a teaching to the contrary. APplicant's assertion that some other gas (or vacuum) is used is more unreasonable, because there is nothing which suggests it.. Applicant also argues that one cannot combine the methods of the prior art references because of the temperature limitations - the rejections are not based on bodily incorporation. Rather, the secondary references are used to show it is already well known to do what applicant does: sinter with a fluorine atmosphere. It is not invention to treat glass in a fluorine environment - if one wants fluorine in the glass.